



Florida State University


2015 Fall


Course: MAD3703-0001 : NUMERICAL ANALYSIS I

Instructor: Justin Eilertsen

1 - The course materials helped me understand the subject matter.					
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses	Mean
Strongly Agree	(5)	13	50.00%		4.46
Agree	(4)	12	46.15%		
Neutral	(3)	1	3.85%		
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%		
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%		
				0 25 50 75 100	Question
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median		
26/35 (74.29%)	4.46	0.58	4.50		

2 - The work required of me was appropriate based on course objectives.					
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses	Mean
Strongly Agree	(5)	14	53.85%		4.50
Agree	(4)	11	42.31%		
Neutral	(3)	1	3.85%		
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%		
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%		
				0 25 50 75 100	Question
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median		
26/35 (74.29%)	4.50	0.58	5.00		

3 - The tests, project, etc. accurately measured what I learned in this course.					
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses	Mean
Strongly Agree	(5)	18	69.23%		4.65
Agree	(4)	7	26.92%		
Neutral	(3)	1	3.85%		
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%		
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%		
				0 25 50 75 100	Question
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median		
26/35 (74.29%)	4.65	0.56	5.00		


4 - This course encouraged me to think critically.					
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses	Mean
Strongly Agree	(5)	18	69.23%		4.62
Agree	(4)	7	26.92%		
Neutral	(3)	0	0.00%		
Disagree	(2)	1	3.85%		
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%		
				0 25 50 75 100	Question
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median		
26/35 (74.29%)	4.62	0.70	5.00		


Florida State University


2015 Fall


Course: MAD3703-0001 : NUMERICAL ANALYSIS I

Instructor: Justin Eilertsen

5 - I learned a great deal in this course.					
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses	Mean
Strongly Agree	(5)	16	61.54%		4.42
Agree	(4)	6	23.08%		
Neutral	(3)	3	11.54%		
Disagree	(2)	1	3.85%		
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%		
				0 25 50 75 100	Question
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median		
26/35 (74.29%)	4.42	0.86	5.00		

6 - Instructor(s) provided clear expectations for the course.					
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses	Mean
Strongly Agree	(5)	20	76.92%		4.77
Agree	(4)	6	23.08%		
Neutral	(3)	0	0.00%		
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%		
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%		
				0 25 50 75 100	Question
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median		
26/35 (74.29%)	4.77	0.43	5.00		

7 - Instructor(s) communicated effectively.					
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses	Mean
Strongly Agree	(5)	16	61.54%		4.58
Agree	(4)	9	34.62%		
Neutral	(3)	1	3.85%		
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%		
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%		
				0 25 50 75 100	Question
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median		
26/35 (74.29%)	4.58	0.58	5.00		


8 - Instructor(s) stimulated my interest in the subject matter.					
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses	Mean
Strongly Agree	(5)	14	53.85%		4.31
Agree	(4)	7	26.92%		
Neutral	(3)	4	15.38%		
Disagree	(2)	1	3.85%		
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%		
				0 25 50 75 100	Question
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median		
26/35 (74.29%)	4.31	0.88	5.00		


Florida State University


2015 Fall


Course: MAD3703-0001 : NUMERICAL ANALYSIS I

Instructor: Justin Eilertsen

9 - Instructor(s) provided helpful feedback on my work.					
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses	Mean
Strongly Agree	(5)	15	57.69%		4.38
Agree	(4)	7	26.92%		
Neutral	(3)	3	11.54%		
Disagree	(2)	1	3.85%		
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%		
				0 25 50 75 100	Question
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median		
26/35 (74.29%)	4.38	0.85	5.00		

10 - Instructor(s) demonstrated respect for students.					
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses	Mean
Strongly Agree	(5)	25	96.15%		4.96
Agree	(4)	1	3.85%		
Neutral	(3)	0	0.00%		
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%		
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%		
				0 25 50 75 100	Question
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median		
26/35 (74.29%)	4.96	0.20	5.00		


11 - Instructor(s) demonstrated mastery of the subject matter.					
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses	Mean
Strongly Agree	(5)	23	88.46%		4.88
Agree	(4)	3	11.54%		
Neutral	(3)	0	0.00%		
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%		
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%		
				0 25 50 75 100	Question
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median		
26/35 (74.29%)	4.88	0.33	5.00		

12 - Overall course content rating.					
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses	Mean
Excellent	(5)	12	50.00%		4.33
Above Satisfactory	(4)	9	37.50%		
Satisfactory	(3)	2	8.33%		
Below Satisfactory	(2)	1	4.17%		
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%		
				0 25 50 75 100	Question
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median		
24/35 (68.57%)	4.33	0.82	4.50		

Florida State University
2015 Fall

Course: MAD3703-0001 : NUMERICAL ANALYSIS I

Instructor: Justin Eilertsen


13 - Overall rating for Instructor(s)					
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses	Mean
Excellent	(5)	18	72.00%		4.68
Above Satisfactory	(4)	6	24.00%		
Satisfactory	(3)	1	4.00%		
Below Satisfactory	(2)	0	0.00%		
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%		
				0255075100	Question
Return Rate		Mean	STD	Median	
25/35 (71.43%)		4.68	0.56	5.00	


Florida State University


2016 Spring


Course: MAP4153-0001 : VECTOR INTRO TENSORS

Instructor: Justin Eilertsen

1 - The course materials helped me understand the subject matter.					
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses	Mean
Strongly Agree	(5)	13	46.43%		4.32
Agree	(4)	11	39.29%		
Neutral	(3)	4	14.29%		
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%		
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%		
				0 25 50 75 100	Question
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median		
28/33 (84.85%)	4.32	0.72	4.00		

2 - The work required of me was appropriate based on course objectives.					
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses	Mean
Strongly Agree	(5)	18	64.29%		4.50
Agree	(4)	6	21.43%		
Neutral	(3)	4	14.29%		
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%		
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%		
				0 25 50 75 100	Question
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median		
28/33 (84.85%)	4.50	0.75	5.00		

3 - The tests, project, etc. accurately measured what I learned in this course.					
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses	Mean
Strongly Agree	(5)	17	60.71%		4.43
Agree	(4)	6	21.43%		
Neutral	(3)	5	17.86%		
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%		
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%		
				0 25 50 75 100	Question
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median		
28/33 (84.85%)	4.43	0.79	5.00		


4 - This course encouraged me to think critically.					
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses	Mean
Strongly Agree	(5)	22	78.57%		4.71
Agree	(4)	5	17.86%		
Neutral	(3)	0	0.00%		
Disagree	(2)	1	3.57%		
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%		
				0 25 50 75 100	Question
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median		
28/33 (84.85%)	4.71	0.66	5.00		


Florida State University


2016 Spring


Course: MAP4153-0001 : VECTOR INTRO TENSORS

Instructor: Justin Eilertsen

5 - I learned a great deal in this course.					
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses	Mean
Strongly Agree	(5)	15	53.57%		4.39
Agree	(4)	9	32.14%		
Neutral	(3)	4	14.29%		
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%		
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%		
				0 25 50 75 100	Question
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median		
28/33 (84.85%)	4.39	0.74	5.00		

6 - Instructor(s) provided clear expectations for the course.					
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses	Mean
Strongly Agree	(5)	20	71.43%		4.64
Agree	(4)	6	21.43%		
Neutral	(3)	2	7.14%		
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%		
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%		
				0 25 50 75 100	Question
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median		
28/33 (84.85%)	4.64	0.62	5.00		

7 - Instructor(s) communicated effectively.					
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses	Mean
Strongly Agree	(5)	21	75.00%		4.68
Agree	(4)	5	17.86%		
Neutral	(3)	2	7.14%		
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%		
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%		
				0 25 50 75 100	Question
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median		
28/33 (84.85%)	4.68	0.61	5.00		


8 - Instructor(s) stimulated my interest in the subject matter.					
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses	Mean
Strongly Agree	(5)	20	71.43%		4.61
Agree	(4)	5	17.86%		
Neutral	(3)	3	10.71%		
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%		
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%		
				0 25 50 75 100	Question
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median		
28/33 (84.85%)	4.61	0.69	5.00		


Florida State University


2016 Spring


Course: MAP4153-0001 : VECTOR INTRO TENSORS

Instructor: Justin Eilertsen

9 - Instructor(s) provided helpful feedback on my work.					
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses	Mean
Strongly Agree	(5)	18	64.29%		4.57
Agree	(4)	8	28.57%		
Neutral	(3)	2	7.14%		
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%		
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%		
				0 25 50 75 100	Question
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median		
28/33 (84.85%)	4.57	0.63	5.00		

10 - Instructor(s) demonstrated respect for students.					
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses	Mean
Strongly Agree	(5)	25	89.29%		4.89
Agree	(4)	3	10.71%		
Neutral	(3)	0	0.00%		
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%		
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%		
				0 25 50 75 100	Question
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median		
28/33 (84.85%)	4.89	0.31	5.00		


11 - Instructor(s) demonstrated mastery of the subject matter.					
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses	Mean
Strongly Agree	(5)	23	85.19%		4.85
Agree	(4)	4	14.81%		
Neutral	(3)	0	0.00%		
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%		
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%		
				0 25 50 75 100	Question
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median		
27/33 (81.82%)	4.85	0.36	5.00		

12 - Overall course content rating.					
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses	Mean
Excellent	(5)	18	69.23%		4.50
Above Satisfactory	(4)	3	11.54%		
Satisfactory	(3)	5	19.23%		
Below Satisfactory	(2)	0	0.00%		
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%		
				0 25 50 75 100	Question
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median		
26/33 (78.79%)	4.50	0.81	5.00		

Florida State University
2016 Spring

Course: MAP4153-0001 : VECTOR INTRO TENSORS

Instructor: Justin Eilertsen


13 - Overall rating for Instructor(s)					
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses	Mean
Excellent	(5)	22	84.62%		4.77
Above Satisfactory	(4)	2	7.69%		
Satisfactory	(3)	2	7.69%		
Below Satisfactory	(2)	0	0.00%		
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%		
				0255075100	Question
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median		
26/33 (78.79%)	4.77	0.59	5.00		


Florida State University


2015 Summer


Course: MAP2302-0001 : ORD DIFFER EQUATIONS

Instructor: Justin Eilertsen

1 - The course materials helped me understand the subject matter.					
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses	Mean
Strongly Agree	(5)	22	57.89%		4.39
Agree	(4)	11	28.95%		
Neutral	(3)	4	10.53%		
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%		
Strongly Disagree	(1)	1	2.63%		
				0 25 50 75 100	Question
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median		
38/91 (41.76%)	4.39	0.89	5.00		

2 - The work required of me was appropriate based on course objectives.					
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses	Mean
Strongly Agree	(5)	30	78.95%		4.76
Agree	(4)	7	18.42%		
Neutral	(3)	1	2.63%		
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%		
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%		
				0 25 50 75 100	Question
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median		
38/91 (41.76%)	4.76	0.49	5.00		

3 - The tests, project, etc. accurately measured what I learned in this course.					
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses	Mean
Strongly Agree	(5)	30	78.95%		4.74
Agree	(4)	7	18.42%		
Neutral	(3)	0	0.00%		
Disagree	(2)	1	2.63%		
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%		
				0 25 50 75 100	Question
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median		
38/91 (41.76%)	4.74	0.60	5.00		


4 - This course encouraged me to think critically.					
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses	Mean
Strongly Agree	(5)	28	71.79%		4.62
Agree	(4)	7	17.95%		
Neutral	(3)	4	10.26%		
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%		
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%		
				0 25 50 75 100	Question
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median		
39/91 (42.86%)	4.62	0.67	5.00		


Florida State University


2015 Summer


Course: MAP2302-0001 : ORD DIFFER EQUATIONS

Instructor: Justin Eilertsen

5 - I learned a great deal in this course.					
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses	Mean
Strongly Agree	(5)	28	71.79%		4.67
Agree	(4)	9	23.08%		
Neutral	(3)	2	5.13%		
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%		
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%		
				0 25 50 75 100	Question
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median		
39/91 (42.86%)	4.67	0.58	5.00		

6 - Instructor(s) provided clear expectations for the course.					
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses	Mean
Strongly Agree	(5)	35	89.74%		4.82
Agree	(4)	2	5.13%		
Neutral	(3)	1	2.56%		
Disagree	(2)	1	2.56%		
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%		
				0 25 50 75 100	Question
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median		
39/91 (42.86%)	4.82	0.60	5.00		

7 - Instructor(s) communicated effectively.					
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses	Mean
Strongly Agree	(5)	30	76.92%		4.67
Agree	(4)	6	15.38%		
Neutral	(3)	2	5.13%		
Disagree	(2)	1	2.56%		
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%		
				0 25 50 75 100	Question
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median		
39/91 (42.86%)	4.67	0.70	5.00		


8 - Instructor(s) stimulated my interest in the subject matter.					
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses	Mean
Strongly Agree	(5)	28	73.68%		4.58
Agree	(4)	7	18.42%		
Neutral	(3)	0	0.00%		
Disagree	(2)	3	7.89%		
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%		
				0 25 50 75 100	Question
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median		
38/91 (41.76%)	4.58	0.86	5.00		


Florida State University


2015 Summer


Course: MAP2302-0001 : ORD DIFFER EQUATIONS

Instructor: Justin Eilertsen

9 - Instructor(s) provided helpful feedback on my work.					
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses	Mean
Strongly Agree	(5)	28	73.68%		4.68
Agree	(4)	8	21.05%		
Neutral	(3)	2	5.26%		
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%		
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%		
				0 25 50 75 100	Question
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median		
38/91 (41.76%)	4.68	0.57	5.00		

10 - Instructor(s) demonstrated respect for students.					
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses	Mean
Strongly Agree	(5)	33	84.62%		4.82
Agree	(4)	5	12.82%		
Neutral	(3)	1	2.56%		
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%		
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%		
				0 25 50 75 100	Question
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median		
39/91 (42.86%)	4.82	0.45	5.00		


11 - Instructor(s) demonstrated mastery of the subject matter.					
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses	Mean
Strongly Agree	(5)	37	94.87%		4.90
Agree	(4)	0	0.00%		
Neutral	(3)	2	5.13%		
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%		
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%		
				0 25 50 75 100	Question
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median		
39/91 (42.86%)	4.90	0.45	5.00		

12 - Overall course content rating.					
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses	Mean
Excellent	(5)	24	61.54%		4.51
Above Satisfactory	(4)	11	28.21%		
Satisfactory	(3)	4	10.26%		
Below Satisfactory	(2)	0	0.00%		
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%		
				0 25 50 75 100	Question
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median		
39/91 (42.86%)	4.51	0.68	5.00		

Florida State University
2015 Summer

Course: MAP2302-0001 : ORD DIFFER EQUATIONS

Instructor: Justin Eilertsen


13 - Overall rating for Instructor(s)					
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses	Mean
Excellent	(5)	34	87.18%		4.82
Above Satisfactory	(4)	3	7.69%		
Satisfactory	(3)	2	5.13%		
Below Satisfactory	(2)	0	0.00%		
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%		
				0255075100	Question
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median		
39/91 (42.86%)	4.82	0.51	5.00		


Florida State University


2015 Spring


Course: MAS3105-0001 : APP LINEAR ALGEBRA I

Instructor: Justin Eilertsen

1 - The course materials helped me understand the subject matter.					
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses	Mean
Strongly Agree	(5)	20	62.50%		4.53
Agree	(4)	9	28.13%		
Neutral	(3)	3	9.38%		
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%		
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%		
				0 25 50 75 100	Question
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median		
32/72 (44.44%)	4.53	0.67	5.00		

2 - The work required of me was appropriate based on course objectives.					
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses	Mean
Strongly Agree	(5)	24	75.00%		4.72
Agree	(4)	7	21.88%		
Neutral	(3)	1	3.13%		
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%		
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%		
				0 25 50 75 100	Question
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median		
32/72 (44.44%)	4.72	0.52	5.00		

3 - The tests, project, etc. accurately measured what I learned in this course.					
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses	Mean
Strongly Agree	(5)	20	62.50%		4.59
Agree	(4)	11	34.38%		
Neutral	(3)	1	3.13%		
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%		
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%		
				0 25 50 75 100	Question
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median		
32/72 (44.44%)	4.59	0.56	5.00		


4 - This course encouraged me to think critically.					
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses	Mean
Strongly Agree	(5)	22	68.75%		4.56
Agree	(4)	6	18.75%		
Neutral	(3)	4	12.50%		
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%		
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%		
				0 25 50 75 100	Question
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median		
32/72 (44.44%)	4.56	0.72	5.00		


Florida State University


2015 Spring


Course: MAS3105-0001 : APP LINEAR ALGEBRA I

Instructor: Justin Eilertsen

5 - I learned a great deal in this course.					
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses	Mean
Strongly Agree	(5)	17	53.13%		4.44
Agree	(4)	12	37.50%		
Neutral	(3)	3	9.38%		
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%		
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%		
				0 25 50 75 100	Question
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median		
32/72 (44.44%)	4.44	0.67	5.00		

6 - Instructor(s) provided clear expectations for the course.					
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses	Mean
Strongly Agree	(5)	27	84.38%		4.81
Agree	(4)	4	12.50%		
Neutral	(3)	1	3.13%		
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%		
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%		
				0 25 50 75 100	Question
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median		
32/72 (44.44%)	4.81	0.47	5.00		

7 - Instructor(s) communicated effectively.					
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses	Mean
Strongly Agree	(5)	26	81.25%		4.78
Agree	(4)	5	15.63%		
Neutral	(3)	1	3.13%		
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%		
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%		
				0 25 50 75 100	Question
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median		
32/72 (44.44%)	4.78	0.49	5.00		


8 - Instructor(s) stimulated my interest in the subject matter.					
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses	Mean
Strongly Agree	(5)	16	50.00%		4.25
Agree	(4)	9	28.13%		
Neutral	(3)	6	18.75%		
Disagree	(2)	1	3.13%		
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%		
				0 25 50 75 100	Question
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median		
32/72 (44.44%)	4.25	0.88	4.50		


Florida State University


2015 Spring


Course: MAS3105-0001 : APP LINEAR ALGEBRA I

Instructor: Justin Eilertsen

9 - Instructor(s) provided helpful feedback on my work.					
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses	Mean
Strongly Agree	(5)	19	59.38%		4.47
Agree	(4)	9	28.13%		
Neutral	(3)	4	12.50%		
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%		
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%		
				0 25 50 75 100	Question
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median		
32/72 (44.44%)	4.47	0.72	5.00		

10 - Instructor(s) demonstrated respect for students.					
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses	Mean
Strongly Agree	(5)	31	96.88%		4.94
Agree	(4)	0	0.00%		
Neutral	(3)	1	3.13%		
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%		
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%		
				0 25 50 75 100	Question
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median		
32/72 (44.44%)	4.94	0.35	5.00		


11 - Instructor(s) demonstrated mastery of the subject matter.					
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses	Mean
Strongly Agree	(5)	31	96.88%		4.94
Agree	(4)	0	0.00%		
Neutral	(3)	1	3.13%		
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%		
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%		
				0 25 50 75 100	Question
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median		
32/72 (44.44%)	4.94	0.35	5.00		

12 - Overall course content rating.					
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses	Mean
Excellent	(5)	17	54.84%		4.45
Above Satisfactory	(4)	11	35.48%		
Satisfactory	(3)	3	9.68%		
Below Satisfactory	(2)	0	0.00%		
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%		
				0 25 50 75 100	Question
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median		
31/72 (43.06%)	4.45	0.68	5.00		

Florida State University
2015 Spring

Course: MAS3105-0001 : APP LINEAR ALGEBRA I

Instructor: Justin Eilertsen

13 - Overall rating for Instructor(s)					
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percentage	Percent Responses	Mean
Excellent	(5)	26	86.67%		4.83
Above Satisfactory	(4)	3	10.00%		
Satisfactory	(3)	1	3.33%		
Below Satisfactory	(2)	0	0.00%		
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%		
				0255075100	Question
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median		
30/72 (41.67%)	4.83	0.46	5.00		